Office Supreme Court, U. S.
FILED
APR 4 1928
CHARLES ELMORE CROPLEY
Clerk

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1927.

No. 564.

MILTON E. SPRINGER, DALMACIO COSTAS and ANSELMO HILARIO,

Petitioners,

v.

THE GOVERNMENT OF THE PHILIPPINE ISLANDS,

Respondent.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF THE PHILIPPINE ISLANDS.

BRIEF FOR THE PETITIONERS.

JOHN W. DAVIS,
JOSE ABAD SANTOS,
JAMES ROSS,
QUINTIN PAREDES,
CLARO M. RECTO,
Counsel for Petitioners.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

PAGE

Previous Opinion in the Present Case 1

Jurisdiction 1

Statement of the Case 2

Summary of Argument 7

The Argument 10

Point I: The voting power of the government-owned stock in the National Coal Company is not an office and all respondent's contentions as to an alleged invasion of the Governor-General's asserted general power of appointing persons to public office, is for that reason, quite beside the point 10

Point II: The Philippine Legislature has all general legislative powers such as are exercised by states and territories 20

Point III: The voting of the government-owned stock in the National Coal Company is merely a part of the machinery for the management of that corporation and, under the Legislature's power to create corporations for the attainment of objects within its powers and to provide for the organization of such corporations and for their management, the Legislature may confer the voting power of the corporate stock as it sees fit 25

PAGE

Point IV: Conceding *arguendo* that the corporate entity of the National Coal Company may be disregarded and that the power of voting the government-owned stock may be regarded as a duty of caring for government property, that voting power, as such a duty, is nevertheless properly fided to legislative officers..... 32

Point V: The law is settled that the officers of the National Coal Company are not officers of the Philippine Government and the fact that the voting power of the government-owned stock in the National Coal Company is to be exercised for the purpose, *inter alia,* of selecting such officers does not make that voting power a part of the Governor-General's asserted power of appointing persons to public office..... 37

Point VI: Conceding, for the sake of argument only, that voting the government-owned stock in the National Coal Company is a public office and conceding that that company's directors, officers and employees are public officers, still the provisions of the statute that are under attack are unobjectionable 40

(a) If voting the government-owned stock were an office, the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives would be eligible to hold it..... 40

(b) The Governor-General of the Philippine Islands has no general power of appointing persons to public office and the offices alleged herein to be involved are not within the powers of appointment specifically given to him under the Autonomy Act... 43

PAGE

Point VII: The Philippine statutes here in question have received the implied sanction of Congress and should not be disturbed 50

Conclusion 55

Appendix 57
Act 2705 of the Philippine Legislature..... 57
Act 2822 of the Philippine Legislature..... 59
The Governor General's Executive Order No. 37.... 61
Act of Congress of July 1, 1902, Chap. 1369 (32 Statutes 691) 62
Act of Congress of August 29, 1916 (Philippine Autonomy Act), Chap. 416 (39 Statutes 545).... 63

Table of Cases.

PAGE

Baca v. Perez, 8 N. M. 187, 42 Pac. 162, 164 52
Baker v. Kirk, 32 Ind. 517 42
Bank of Kentucky v. Wister, 3 Peters, 431 ..... 15, 30
Bank of the United States v. Planters Bank of Georgia, 9 Wheaton 904 ..... 14, 15, 16, 30
Bend v. Hoyt, 13 Peters, 263 47
Binns v. United States, 194 U. S. 486 54
Briscoe v. Bank of Kentucky, 11 Peters 323 ..... 15, 30
Bunn v. People, 45 Ill. 397 13
California v. Pacific Railroad Company, 127 U. S. 1 ..... 27, 28, 29, 37
Chanco v. Imperial, 34 Phil. Rep. 329 52
Chesapeake & Delaware Canal Co. v. United States, 250 U. S. 123 16
Clallam County v. United States, 263 U. S. 341 31
Clinton v. Englebrecht, 13 Wall. 434 ..... 50, 52, 53
Commonwealth v. Swasey, 133 Mass. 538 13
Curran v. State of Arkansas, 15 How. 304 ..... 15, 30
Darrington v. Bank of Alabama, 13 How. 12 ..... 15, 30
Dartmouth College v. Woodward, 4 Wheat. 518, 638, 639 ..... 37, 38
Doyle v. Aldermen, 89 N. C. 133 13
Eliason v. Coleman, 86 N. C. 235 13
Emblen v. Lincoln Land Co., 102 Fed. 559, aff'd 184 U. S. 660 33
Fajardo Sugar Company v. Holcomb, 16 Fed. 2d 92, 96 53
Flores v. United States, 18 Ct. Cl. 352 33
Gallardo v. Porto Rico Railway Light and Power Company, 18 Fed. 2d 918, 923 ..... 52, 53
Gromer v. Standard Dredging Company, 224 U. S. 362 .. 51
Heydenfeldt v. Dany Gold and Silver Mining Company, 93 U. S. 634 47
Hill v. Boyland, 40 Miss. 618 14
In re Members of the Legislature, 49 Fla. 269 42
In the Matter of Oaths, 20 Johnson, 492, 493 13
Jover v. Insular Government, 221 U. S. 623 35

PAGE

Kepner v. United States, 195 U. S. 125 55
Kohlsaat v. Murphy, 96 U. S. 153 47
Knote v. United States, 10 Ct. Cl. 397 33
Lear v. United States, 50 Fed. 65 33
Luxton v. North River Bridge Company, 153 U. S. 525 ..... 27, 28, 29, 30
McCulloch v. Maryland, 4 Wheat. 316 27
McKee v. United States, 164 U. S. 287 47
Mammoth Oil Co. v. United States, 274 U. S. 13 33
Miller v. Supervisors, 25 Cal. 98 13
Montclair Township v. Ramsdell, 107 U. S. 147 47
Myers v. United States, 272 U. S. 52 ..... 43, 48, 53
Opinion of the Judges, 3 Greenl. 481 13
Pan-American Petroleum Co. v. United States, 273 U. S. 456 33
Peck v. Jenness, 7 How. 612 47
Pennington v. Coxe, 2 Cranch, 33 47
People v. Nichols, 52 N. Y. 478 14
Rice v. Minnesota and Northwestern Railroad Company, 1 Black, 358 47
Russell Motor Car Company v. United States, 261 U. S. 514 31
Sheboygan County v. Parker, 3 Wallace, 93 ..... 11, 13 17
Shoemaker v. United States, 147 U. S. 282 18
Skinner & Eddy Corporation v. McCarl, 275 U. S. 1 30
Sloan Ship Yards Corporation v. U. S. Shipping Board Emergency Fleet Corporation, 258 U. S. 549 ..... 15, 30
State v. Kennon, 7 Ohio State, 546, 556 13
State v. Kirk, 44 Ind. 401 14
Tiaco v. Forbes, 228 U. S. 549 51
Townsend v. Little, 109 U. S. 504 55
U. S. Emergency Fleet Corporation v. Western Union Telegraph Company, No. 113 October Term, 1927 31
United States Grain Corporation v. Phillips, 261 U. S. 106, 111 31
United States v. Bull, 15 Phil. Rep. 31 52
United States v. Germaine, 99 U. S. 508, 511 13

PAGE

United States v. Gooding, 12 Wheat. 460..... 47
United States v. Hare, (C. C. A. 1867), Fed. Cas. No. 15303 33
United States v. Hartwell, 6 Wallace 385..... 12
United States v. Hatch, 1 Pin. 182 12
United States v. Nichol, Fed. Cas. No. 15879..... 33
United States v. Nix, 189 U. S. 205..... 55
United States v. Strang, 254 U. S. 491..... 30
United States v. Walter, 263 U. S. 15..... 31
Walker v. Cincinnati, 21 Ohio St. 14..... 14

Table of Statutes.

Act of Congress of August 10, 1846, 9 Statutes 102. 27
Act of Congress of March 21, 1866, 14 Statutes 10.... 27
Act of Congress of March 12, 1894, 28 Statutes 41.... 26
Act of Congress of July 1, 1902, 32 Statutes 691..... 35
Act of Congress of August 29, 1916 (Philippine Autonomy Act) 39 Statutes 545.....*passim*
Act of the Philippine Legislature No. 2705.....*passim*
Act of the Philippine Legislature No. 2822.....*passim*
Constitution of Florida, Article 2, Section 5..... 42
Constitution of Indiana, Article 4, Section 30..... 42
Constitution of the United States, Article 4, Section 3.. 33

Other Material Referred To.

Congressional Record.....20-25
House Reports, 55th Congress, 3rd Session, Volume 2, H. R. No. 2205..... 14
House Reports, 67th Congress, 2nd Sessions, H. R. No. 325 .....3, 54
Mechem on Public Offices and Public Officers..... 10
Opinions of the Attorneys General of the United States, Volume 17, Page 365..... 42
Opinions of the Attorneys General of the United States, Volume 21, Page 211..... 42
Story on the Constitution..... 42
Watson on the Constitution..... 42